UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,061	02/12/2007	Hisashi Ohashi	SON-3206	6470	
23353 7590 08/12/2010 RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING			EXAMINER		
			SONG, DAEHO D		
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2175		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/12/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/593,061	OHASHI, HISASHI				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DAEHO D. SONG	2175				
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>71</u>					
(1) <u>DAEHO D. SONG</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>MAULIN PATEL</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 9 August 2010	Time: <u>3PM</u>					
Type of Interview:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, 2ND						
Claims discussed: 1, 6 AND 12						
Prior art documents discussed: N/A						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
/Boris Pesin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2174 /Daeho D Song/ Examiner, Art Unit 2175 (A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed number of issues within claimed invention which merit more detailed attention with suggestions, such as one key is upposed to be assigned to one function, which is from a paired function, according to the description of the pecification of instant application. Examiner will consider Applicant's argument/amendment pursuant to a further action.	